

February 1, 2008

Magnetic Moment of Neutrino in Statistical Background

Samina S.Masood

*Physics Department, Rochester Institute of Technology,
85 Lomb Memorial Drive, Rochester, NY 14618*

Permanent Address:170 Danforth Crescent, Rochester, NY14618

Abstract

We calculate the magnetic moment of Dirac type of neutrinos in hot and dense background for different ranges of temperature and chemical potential. The properties of neutrinos are studied in the strong magnetic field where the chemical potential of particles is high enough to have more particles than the antiparticles. We show that in this situation, Weyl neutrino seems to explain the neutrino coupling with the magnetic field due to its effective mass which can couple with the magnetic field directly. We also investigate the electromagnetic properties of Weyl neutrino due to its effective mass developed in the strong magnetic field.

Superkamiokande in June 1998 claimed the experimental evidence of nonzero mass of neutrino which encouraged the phenomenologists to study the properties of massive neutrinos even more carefully. The experimental bounds on the mass of neutrino are measured to be as [1,2]

$$m_{\nu_e} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{-5} eV \quad (1a)$$

$$m_{\nu_\mu} \sim 2.8 \times 10^{-3} eV \quad (1b)$$

$$m_{\nu_\tau} \sim 4.8 \times 10^{-2} eV \quad (1c)$$

These tiny masses of neutrinos provide enough motivation to look at the extensions of the standard electroweak model even more seriously, though they are not expected to be high enough to change the physical results so much. The massless neutrino of the standard electroweak model (SM), usually called Weyl neutrino cannot acquire any mass in vacuum and have only one degree of freedom which is conventionally the left handed neutrino. However, if the neutrino mass is not exactly equal to zero, only then we can think about the existence of right-handed neutrino. Now, to accommodate this right handed neutrino in the SM, we have to look for different extensions of the SM. The minimal extension of the standard model (MSM) would be the standard model with an extra right-handed inert neutrino as a singlet. In MSM, the individual lepton number conservation still holds, and practically the framework of the SM is used for all sort of calculation. All the Feynman diagrams of the SM contribute in the same way. On the other hand we can always look for different extensions of the standard model which allow neutrino as a doublet also. In that case, we have to extend the Higgs sector to accommodate the neutrino doublet in the theory at the cost of the individual lepton number. Total lepton number is always conserved. This new charged Higgs can be added as a singlet [3] or as a doublet [4]. We would refer the last one as the Higgs doublet model (HDM) throughout in this paper. There are several other extensions of the standard model which we don't include in our discussion because we mainly want to see that how the quantum statistical background can effect the massive neutrinos, in general. We will briefly mention about the supersymmetric models of the massive neutrinos in this regard, for completeness though the comparison of different model is not our prime goal here. We even know that the neutrino masses are small enough to be ignored in most of the cases. However, we have to see that what happens to the massive neutrinos in the astrophysical and cosmological environments

where they can show different kind of behavior with this tiny mass. These special environments are usually hot and dense. We would also study the behavior of Weyl neutrino in the constant magnetic field. Our basic scheme of work is the real-time formalism [5] where we deal with the real particles only and hence incorporate the 1-1 component of the propagators. This is a valid approximation in the heat bath of real particle gas at moderate energies.

The boson propagator in the real-time formalism can be written as [5,6]

$$D_B^\beta = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\epsilon} - 2\pi i \delta(k^2) n_B(k) \quad (1a)$$

and the fermion propagator as,

$$S_F^\beta = (\not{p} - m_\ell) \left[\frac{1}{p^2 - m_\ell^2 + i\epsilon} + 2\pi i \delta(p^2 - m_\ell^2) n_F(p) \right] \quad (1b)$$

with the Bose-Einstein distribution,

$$n_B(k) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta k_0} - 1} \quad (2a)$$

for massless vector bosons. This automatically leads to the vanishing mass density and hence the chemical potential [7]. The Fermi-Dirac distribution is given by [8-10]

$$n_F(p) = \frac{\theta(p_0)}{e^{\beta(p_0 - \mu)} + 1} + \frac{\theta(-p_0)}{e^{\beta(p_0 + \mu)} + 1} \quad (2b)$$

such that the chemical potential of fermions is always equal and opposite to the corresponding antifermions. in CP symmetric background. We will later discuss the situation where CP symmetry breaks but Eq.(2b) will still hold. Since all the particle species are in thermal equilibrium, it is convenient to expand the distribution functions in powers of m/β , where m is the mass of the corresponding particles and $\beta = 1/T$. μ , T and m are expressed in the same units. We obtain [9,10]

$$n_F \xrightarrow{\mu \ll T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{n\beta(\mu - p_0)} \quad (3a)$$

and in the limit of $\mu \succ T$

$$n_F \xrightarrow{\mu > T} \theta(\mu - p_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-n\beta(\mu - p_0)} \quad (3b)$$

for particles, and for antiparticle the distribution functions in the same approximations give

$$n_F \xrightarrow{\mu < T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-n\beta(\mu + p_0)} \quad (3c)$$

and

$$n_F \xrightarrow{\mu \succ T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-n\beta(\mu + p_0)} \quad (3d)$$

p_0 correspond to the energy of the real particles, given by

$$p_0^2 = p^2 + m^2 \quad (4a)$$

where p is the magnitude of the three momentum of the particles.

. In the presence of the constant background magnetic field, it reads out to be[11]

$$p_0^2 = p^2 + m^2 + eB(2n + 1) \quad (4b)$$

where n corresponds to the Landau Levels. We ignore the polarization effects for the moment. The electromagnetic properties of neutrinos can be derived from the radiative decay of neutrino $\nu \longrightarrow \nu\gamma$ or the plasmon decay given as $\gamma \longrightarrow \gamma\bar{\nu}$. These processes can only take place through the higher order processes. The most general form of the decay rate of Dirac neutrino can be written in terms of its form factors [12-13] as,

$$\Gamma_\mu = [F_1 \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu + F_2 u_\mu + iF_3 (\gamma_\mu u_\nu - \gamma_\nu u_\mu) q^\nu + iF_4 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \gamma^\nu q^\alpha u^\beta] L \quad (5)$$

in usual notation with

$$F_1 = T_T + \frac{\omega}{Q^2} (T_L - T_T) \quad (6a)$$

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{V^2} (T_L - T_T) \quad (6b)$$

$$iF_3 = -\frac{\omega}{Q^2} (T_L - T_T) \quad (6c)$$

$$F_4 = \frac{T_P}{Q} \quad (6d)$$

where L, T and P correspond to the Longitudinal, Transverse and the Polarization components, respectively. F_1 here is in the form of standard charge radius, F_2 yields an additional contribution to the charge radius. F_3 and F_4 correspond to the electric and magnetic form factors of neutrinos, respectively. It can also be seen that [14]

$$F_3(q^2 = 0) = D_E = -\frac{i\omega}{q^2}(T_L - T_T) \quad (7a)$$

and

$$F_4(q^2 = 0) = D_M = -\frac{T_P}{2q} \quad (7b)$$

where q on right-hand side of the equation corresponds to the magnitude of three-momentum vector $\mathbf{q}$, and D_E and D_M are the electric and magnetic dipole moment of Dirac neutrino, considering

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T_T R_{\mu\nu} + T_L Q_{\mu\nu} + T_P P_{\mu\nu} \quad (8)$$

such that

$$R_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} - Q_{\mu\nu} \quad (9a)$$

$$Q_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\bar{u}_\mu \bar{u}_\nu}{\bar{u}} \quad (9b)$$

$$P_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{|q|} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} q^\alpha u^\beta \quad (9c)$$

with

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \quad (10a)$$

$$\bar{u}_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} u^\nu \quad (10b)$$

$$u_\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0) \quad (10c)$$

for $\omega = q \cdot u$ and $q = (\omega^2 - |q|^2)^{1/2}$ and the form factors can be written as

$$T_T = \frac{eg^2}{2M^2} \left(\alpha - \frac{\beta}{u^2} \right) \quad (11a)$$

$$T_L = \frac{eg^2}{M^2} \frac{\beta}{u^2} \quad (11b)$$

$$T_P = -\frac{eg^2}{M^2} |q| \kappa \quad (11c)$$

The integrals α, β and κ are given by

$$\alpha = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E} (n_F^+ + n_F^-) \left[\frac{2m^2 - 2p \cdot q}{q^2 + 2p \cdot q} + (q \leftrightarrow -q) \right] \quad (12a)$$

$$\beta = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E} (n_F^+ + n_F^-) \left[\frac{2(p \cdot u)^2 - 2(p \cdot u)(q \cdot u) - p \cdot q}{q^2 - 2p \cdot q} + (q \leftrightarrow -q) \right] \quad (12b)$$

$$\kappa = - \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E} (n_F^+ - n_F^-) \left[\frac{1}{q^2 + 2p \cdot q} + (q \leftrightarrow -q) \right] \quad (12c)$$

We have evaluated these integrals at $T \geq \mu$ in Ref.[9], giving

$$\alpha \simeq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[\frac{\tilde{c}(m_\ell \beta, \mu)}{\beta^2} + \frac{m}{\beta} \tilde{a}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) - \frac{m^2}{2} \tilde{b}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) - \frac{m^4 \beta^2}{8} \tilde{h}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) \right] \quad (13a)$$

$$\beta \simeq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{3}{8} \ln \frac{1-v}{1+v} \right) \frac{\tilde{c}(m_\ell \beta, \mu)}{\beta^2} + \frac{m}{\beta} \tilde{a}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) - \frac{m^2}{2} \tilde{b}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) - \frac{m^4 \beta^2}{8} \tilde{h}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) \right] \quad (13a)$$

$$\kappa \simeq \frac{1}{\pi^2} [\bar{b}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) + m_\ell^2 \bar{h}(m_\ell \beta, \mu)] \quad (13c)$$

We consider $\kappa = 0$ because we were just considering the CP symmetric background there. The a,b,c functions (we call them a_i -functions for simplicity) for particles and antiparticles are evaluated in the same way. At large T , the particle and antiparticles have same chemical potential with different sign and so give the equal contribution. We stick to the notation of Ref. [9] and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_i(m_\ell \beta, \mu) &= \frac{1}{2} [a_i(m_\ell \beta, \mu) + a_i(m_\ell \beta, -\mu)] \\ \bar{a}_i(m_\ell \beta, \mu) &= \frac{1}{2} [a_i(m_\ell \beta, \mu) - a_i(m_\ell \beta, -\mu)] \end{aligned}$$

giving

such that , in the limit $T \gg \mu$

$$\overline{a_i}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) \longrightarrow 0$$

or in other words the function $\kappa \longrightarrow 0$ indicating the vanishing contributions to the magnetic moment of neutrino from the tadpole diagram in this limit.

The calculational detail of these a_i functions can be found in Ref.[9]. These functions always appear in this scheme of calculations. In the limit $T \geq \mu$, these functions read out to be as

$$\tilde{a}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \ell n \left[(1 + e^{-\beta(m_\ell - \mu)}) (1 + e^{-\beta(m_\ell + \mu)}) \right] \quad (14a)$$

$$\tilde{b}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \cosh(n\beta\mu) \text{Ei}(-nm_\ell\beta) \quad (14b)$$

$$\tilde{c}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \cosh(n\beta\mu) \frac{e^{-n\beta m_\ell}}{n^2} \quad (14c)$$

$$\tilde{h}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{1+n} \left(\frac{\beta^2 n^2}{2} \text{Ei}(-nm_\ell\beta) + n\beta \frac{e^{-n\beta m_\ell}}{m_\ell} \right) \cosh(n\beta\mu) \quad (14d)$$

whereas,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) &\longrightarrow 0 & \overline{(14a)} \\ \bar{h}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) &\longrightarrow 0 & \overline{(14b)} \end{aligned}$$

In the high density regime, at $\mu \geq T$ however, these functions are evaluated as

$$\tilde{a}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) \simeq \mu - m_\ell - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n\beta\mu)^{1-r}} e^{-n\beta m_\ell} \cosh(n\beta\mu) \quad (15a)$$

$$\tilde{b}(m_\ell\beta, \mu) \simeq \ln \frac{\mu}{m_\ell} \quad (15b)$$

$$\tilde{c}(m_\ell\beta, \mu) \simeq \frac{\mu^2 - m_\ell^2}{2} - \mu^2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (-1)^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n\beta\mu)^{2-r}} e^{-n\beta m_\ell} \cosh(n\beta\mu) \quad (15c)$$

$$\tilde{h}(m_\ell\beta, \mu) \simeq \frac{\mu^{-4} - m_\ell^{-4}}{2} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r}{\mu^r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n\beta)^{4+r}} e^{-n\beta m_\ell} \cosh(n\beta\mu) \quad (15d)$$

and

$$\bar{b}(m_\ell\beta, \mu) \simeq 2 \ln \frac{\mu}{m_\ell} \quad \overline{(15a)}$$

$$\bar{h}(m_\ell\beta, \mu) \simeq \frac{\mu^{-4} - m_\ell^{-4}}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{1+n} \left(\frac{\beta^2 n^2}{2} \text{Ei}(-nm_\ell\beta) + n\beta \frac{e^{-n\beta m_\ell}}{m_\ell} \right) \sinh(n\beta\mu) \quad \overline{(15b)}$$

These integrals of Eqs.(12) help to understand the nature of the background contributions. At high temperatures, the background contributions due to fermion and antifermion distributions are approximately equal and hence they cancel each other such that

$$\kappa \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \mu \longrightarrow 0$$

At high densities, however, particle and antiparticle contribution need not to be same all the time which implies that

$$\kappa \neq 0 \quad (16)$$

In the CP symmetric dense background, the chemical potential of the fermions is equal and opposite to the chemical potential of the antifermions. This is the situation where again the contribution due to fermions would not be the same as the antifermion contribution because of the different sign of the chemical potential so the relation (16) holds true.

giving

$$\kappa \simeq -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \ln \frac{\mu}{m_\ell} \quad (17)$$

The magnetic moment of neutrino can simply be obtained from the bubble diagram of the plasmon decay in the MSM. This contribution comes out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_0(p_1, p_2) = & -\frac{g^2}{m_W^2} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \gamma_\alpha L(p_2 - k + m_\ell) \gamma_\mu (p_1 - k + m_\ell) \gamma^\alpha L \\
& x \frac{2\pi i \delta[(p_2 - k)^2 - m_\ell^2]}{(p_2 - k)^2 - m_\ell^2} n_F(p_2 - k) + \\
& + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2) \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

in standard notation of particle physics. We approximate the W propagator as

$\frac{1}{m_W^2}$ with $k^2 \ll m_W^2$, where m_W is the mass of W boson. The magnetic moment of neutrino contribution from this diagram comes out to be equal to [14]

$$\alpha_{\nu_\ell}^\beta \approx \frac{G_F m_\ell}{4\pi^2} m_{\nu_\ell} \left[\tilde{b}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) + \frac{4}{M^2} (m_\ell \tilde{a}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) - \tilde{c}(m_\ell \beta, \mu)) \mu_B \right] \quad (19)$$

where μ_B is the Bohr Magnetron. Eq.(19) gives the total background contribution at $T \succ \mu$. In the limit $\mu \succ T$ the magnetic moment contribution is coming from the tadpole diagram also. Comparing Eq.(3b) with that of (3d), one can easily see the difference of behavior between the particle and antiparticle distribution functions in this regime. So, we have to evaluate the integral κ to find the contribution from the tadpole diagram also. It can easily be seen. It happens because the CP symmetry breaks at low temperatures, however, we are not considering the cold system so the statistical distribution function is still considered to incorporate the particle background effects. We first evaluate Eq.(18) to find the contribution of bubble diagram and then κ is evaluated to find the contribution of the tadpole diagram. Both of these contributions are added up together to give the statistical background contribution to the magnetic moment of Dirac neutrino of MESM in the high density regime as.

$$D_M^{stat} = \frac{eg^2}{2M^2} \kappa + \alpha_{\nu_\ell}^{stat} \quad (20a)$$

$$D_E^{stat} = -\frac{i\omega}{q^2} \frac{eg}{2M^2} (3\beta - \alpha) \quad (20b)$$

whereas,

$$a_\nu^{stat} \simeq \frac{G_F m_\ell}{8\pi^2} m_{\nu_\ell} \ln \frac{\mu}{m_\ell} \quad (21)$$

and

giving the magnetic moment in the units of the Bohr magneton as,

$$D_M^{stat} \simeq \left(\frac{G_F m_\ell}{8\pi^2} - \frac{m_\ell g_Z^2}{16M^2} \right) m_{\nu_\ell} \ln \frac{\mu}{m_\ell} \quad (22)$$

M is this mass of Z and g_Z is the coupling constant of $Z \longrightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}$ vertex. The relative sign difference between the bubble diagram indicates the decrease in the background contribution. The two terms in bracket cannot cancel each other because they still have the same type of contribution and the difference appears as a constant factor only. This factor is comparable to each other so provides a strong decreasing factor. We can safely say that this contribution is negligible for large chemical potentials. As a quick estimate of the magnetic moment value shows that the contribution of Eq.(22) at $\mu_e \sim 10m_e$ is around 10^{-19} Bohr magneton. which is even smaller than the vacuum value of the magnetic moment of neutrino which is around 10^{-18} Bohr magneton[13]. In this situation, it seems as if the nonzero neutrino mass does not help to get the desired larger value of the magnetic moment of neutrino.

In the extended standard models like HDM the individual lepton no. does not conserve so the FTD corrections to the magnetic moment of neutrino could be different because we have to add new individual lepton number violation diagrams. The additional background FTD corrections to the magnetic moment of neutrino comes out to be [15]

$$a_{\nu_\ell}^{stat} \simeq \sum_{\ell'=e,\mu,\tau}^{\infty} \frac{f_{\ell\ell'} h_{\ell\ell'}}{16\pi^2 m_h^2} m_\ell^2 \tilde{b}(m_\ell \beta, \mu) \mu_B \quad (23)$$

with

$$f_{e\mu} h_{e\mu} / m_h^2 \lesssim 2.8 \times 10^{-6} GeV^{-2} \quad (24a)$$

$$f_{e\tau} h_{e\tau} / m_h^2 \lesssim 2.8 \times 10^{-6} GeV^{-2} \quad (24b)$$

where m_h is the mass of the charged higgs in the new higgs doublet in this model. Eq.(23) leads to

$$a_{\nu_\ell}^{stat} \simeq \sum_{\ell'=e,\mu,\tau}^{\infty} \frac{f_{\ell\ell'} h_{\ell\ell'}}{16\pi^2 m_h^2} m_\ell^2 \ln \frac{\mu}{m_\ell} \mu_B \quad (25)$$

at $\mu \succ T$.

It is well-known that the properties of Majorana neutrinos are different from that of Dirac neutrino. So, it cannot have the dipole moment as we describe for Dirac neutrino. However, it can have a transition magnetic dipole moment. Similarly the neutrinos of the SUSY models also can only have the transition magnetic moment. Authors of Ref.[12-14] have noticed that the Majorana neutrino can have almost comparable value of the magnetic moment. Actually it comes out to be different from each other just by an integral factor. Therefore, we should expect the same order of magnetic moment contributions in both cases. Riotto[16] has claimed that a large class of models where charged scalar boson couple to leptons can provide the magnetic moment of electron type neutrino as large as 10^{-12} Bohr Magnetons, which can play a relevant role in different astrophysical phenomenon. However, these extended standard models are constructed to get large magnetic moment values. This is the same thing which works for the HDM also. So, it is not really so much exciting, if we can get the right amount of the magnetic moment value in these models.

In this situation we assume that the neutrino mass does not help to sufficiently increase the magnetic moment value at this point and we will like to go back again in the standard model with Weyl neutrino and see what happens there. We have noticed that the Weyl neutrino in the strong magnetic field acquires a Dirac type of effective mass, which can couple with the strong magnetic field in the background. In the constant magnetic field, this effective mass comes out to be[17]

$$m_{eff} = \frac{g^2 e |B| \mu_e}{(2\pi)^2 (m_W^2 - e |B|)} \quad (26)$$

This effective mass has a pole at $m_W^2 - e |B|$ which gives a natural limit on the magnetic field of highly dense objects as

$$|B| \prec \frac{m_W^2}{e} = 10^{24} Gauss \quad (27)$$

So, B should always be sufficiently below the $10^{24} Gauss$. This limit seems to allow the presence of very high magnetic field, as it is expected in magnetars[18]. However, the effective mass of neutrino with the rise of the magnetic field could be high enough to cause gravitational collapse also. So, the stability of highly magnetic stars could still be questionable.

It is interesting to note that this effective mass of neutrino is the Dirac type of mass acquired by neutrino in the strong magnetic field and does not depend on the extension of the standard model. However, it can simply be treated as the Dirac type of mass of neutrino and its electromagnetic properties can be investigated using the above treatment starting from Eq.(5). The form factors of this type of neutrino and the magnetic moment values are not constant. These quantities depend on the magnetic field and the chemical potential. We will discuss here the magnetic moment of this Weyl neutrino with the large effective mass in detail and see how we can succeed to obtain the desired values of the magnetic moment of neutrino, using this effective mass.

If we just go back to the calculation of the magnetic moment of neutrino in vacuum, it is always proportional to the mass of neutrino itself. Now, if we replace the mass of neutrino m_{ν_ℓ} in the standard relation of the magnetic moment[13] by the effective mass of neutrino from Eq.(26), we get the magnetic field background contribution to be equal to

$$a_{\nu_\ell}^B = \frac{3G_F^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^4} \frac{e|B|\mu_e}{(1 - \frac{e|B|}{m_W^2})} \mu_B \quad (27)$$

where $a_{\nu_\ell}^B$ correspond to the magnetic moment of neutrino in the strong magnetic field. It shows that the desired value of the magnetic moment of neutrino can be obtained by imposing new limits on the magnetic field of the medium and the chemical potential of electron. A quick estimate of Eq.(27) gives the magnetic moment due to this effective mass of the neutrino in the magnetic field

$$eB \simeq 0.9m_W^2$$

and the chemical potential of electron as

$$\mu_e \simeq 100m_e$$

$$a_{\nu_\ell}^B \simeq 2 \times 10^{-15} \mu_B \quad (28)$$

which is much larger than the vacuum value. However, for the magnetic field values, expected to be in neutron stars or supernova($10^{12} - 10^{14}$ Gauss),

the magnetic moment of neutrino is still around $10^{-18}\mu_B$, which is no improvement, as compared to the vacuum values of 1ev neutrino[13].

Eq.(28) shows that we can get [19] the desired value of the magnetic moment of neutrino for larger value of the magnetic field or the chemical potential or both of them. In the large magnetic field with high chemical potential, we have to consider relatively cold systems where temperature of a system has to be sufficiently below its chemical potential. So the desired values of the magnetic moment of neutrino is obtained directly from the very large magnetic field background in superdense stars. We can clearly see that the magnetic collapse is expected at $eB \simeq m_W^2$, which provides a natural limit on the magnetic field value, i.e; $eB \prec m_W^2$, which corresponds to $B=10^{24}$ Gauss. At this stage, we can reach a very interesting result. The rate of change of this effective mass with the magnetic field comes out to be

$$\frac{dm_{eff}}{dB} = \frac{g^2 e \mu_e m_W^2}{(2\pi)^2 (m_W^2 - e|B|)^2} \quad (29)$$

The rate of change of this mass with the magnetic field decreases rapidly with the increasing magnetic field. Eq.(29) correspond to the rate of change of the effective mass of neutrino with the magnetic field due to the coupling of the effective mass of neutrino with the magnetic field. In this sense it corresponds to something similar to the form factor of neutrinos at low energy. We can call it effective magnetic moment of neutrino and define it as

$$(a_{\nu_\ell}^B)_{eff} \equiv \frac{dm_{eff}}{dB} \quad (30)$$

This quantity $\frac{dm_{eff}}{dB}$ has been studied in detail in Ref.(17). At $B=0$, $(a_{\nu_\ell}^B)_{eff} \longrightarrow 10^{-12}$, and in fact we can obtain the desired value of $(a_{\nu_\ell}^B)$ from single relation in different limits of B and μ_e

It is also worth-mentioning that the magnetic moment of neutrino plays an important role to estimate the lepton scattering crosssections. in the early universe and in the hot and dense stellar media. The results obtained in Ref.[20] in the standard model can change a lot with incorporating the magnetic moment of neutrino in the evaluation of lepton scatterings [13, 21]. It also helps to understand the expansion rate of the universe and the solar neutrino problem.

I. References and Footnotes

1. T.Kajita, ‘Atmospheric Neutrino Results from Superkamiokande-Evidence for $\nu_m u$ oscillations’, Neutrino’98, Tokayama, Japan, (June 1998)
2. M.Koshiaba, ‘Kamiokande and Superkamiokande’ , Fifth School on Non-Accelerator Particle Astrophysics, Abdus Salam ICTP, Trieste, Italy (July 1998)
3. K.S.Babu and V.S.Mathur, Phys.Lett. B196, 218 (1987);
4. M.Fukujita and T.Yanagida, Phys.Rev.Lett.58,1807(1987)
5. P.Landsman and Ch. G. Weert, Phys.Rep.145,141(1987) and references therein, and L.Dolan and R.Jackiw, Phys.Rev. D9,3320(1974)
6. E.J.Levinson and D.H.Boal, Phys.Rev. D31,3280(1985)
7. See for example,L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz,‘Statistical Physics’(Pergamon Press Ltd.London,1968)
8. See for Example, K.Ahmed and Samina Saleem (Masood) Phys.Rev D35,1861(1987);ibid, 4020(1987); ibid,Ann.Phys.164,460(1991)
9. .Samina S.Masood,Phys.Rev.D48, 3250(1993) and references therein
10. Samina S.Masood,Phys.Rev.D44,3943(1991),;ibid,D47,648(1993)
11. H.Nunokawa,et.al;Nucl.Phys.B501, 17(1997) and references therein
12. J.C Olivo, J.F.Nieves, and P.B.Pal, D40,3679(1989) and references therein.
13. R.N.Mohapatra and P.B.Pal, Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics, (1991) (World Scientific Publication)
14. J.F.Nieves, and P.B.Pal, D40,1693(1989)
15. Samina S.Masood, Astroparticle Physics, 4,189(1995)
16. A.Riotto, Phys.Rev. D50, 2139(1994)

17. See for details, Samina S.Masood et.al.‘: Effective Magnetic Moment of Neutrinos in Strong Magnetic Fields’hep-ph/9902269(1999)
18. See for Example; D.L.Kaplan et.al; ‘HST Observations of SGR 0526-66: New Constraints on Accretion and Magnetar Models’astro-ph/0103179 and references therein
19. Due to the absence of the research facilities, we have to postpone the detailed quantitative analysis of this equation at this stage.
20. J.A.Morgan, Phys.Lett. B102,247(1981) and Samina S. Masood, ‘Scattering of Leptons in Hot and dense Media’, hep-ph/0108126, *ibid*; ‘Statistical Corrections to the Scattering Amplitudes in the Extended Standard Models’ (Under Preparation)and references therein
21. See for example;M.Parkash, et.al; ‘Neutrino Propagation in Dense Astrophysical Systems.’astr-ph/0103095